

GREEN FINTECH AND HOUSEHOLD INVESTMENT: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF INDONESIA AND MALAYSIA THROUGH THE LENS OF ISLAMIC FINANCE

Syed Zahiruddin Bin Syed Musa

Universiti Islam Malaysia

syedzahir03@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The climate finance gap in emerging markets underscores the urgency of mobilizing capital for low-carbon transitions. While institutional investors dominate global green finance markets, households remain underrepresented, particularly in Southeast Asia. Financial technology (fintech) platforms present opportunities to democratize access to green investment products by reducing transaction costs, improving transparency, and embedding behavioral nudges. This research investigates whether green fintech mobilizes household capital in Indonesia and Malaysia, two leading Islamic finance jurisdictions with growing green sukuk markets and rapid digital financial adoption. Drawing on household finance theory, behavioral economics, and Islamic finance ethics, the study develops a conceptual model linking fintech features (green labels, impact dashboards, zakat/waqf integration) to household investment flows, moderated by Shariah compliance and market volatility. Using a comparative mixed-methods design, we propose an econometric framework combining platform microdata, fund performance, and investor surveys. The quantitative strategy includes difference-in-differences (DiD), survival analysis of retention, and panel vector autoregression (VAR) to examine feedback between retail flows and green sukuk issuance. The paper hypothesizes that fintech design features significantly increase retail flows, Shariah compliance enhances participation, and impact dashboards strengthen retention during volatility. The expected contributions are threefold: (1) empirical evidence on household participation in green finance via fintech in emerging Muslim-majority contexts; (2) theoretical integration of identity utility and *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah* into fintech adoption; and (3) actionable policy recommendations for regulators, fintech platforms, and Islamic financial institutions.

Keywords: Green finance, fintech, retail investors, Islamic finance, sukuk, Indonesia, Malaysia

1. BACKGROUND

Global climate finance flows reached USD 1.3 trillion in 2023, yet this remains only half of the annual investment required to meet the Paris Agreement goals (Climate Policy Initiative, 2023). In ASEAN, the funding gap is particularly acute, with Indonesia and Malaysia facing urgent needs for renewable energy, transport electrification, and climate adaptation (Asian Development Bank [ADB], 2022). Traditional sources of climate finance—sovereign budgets, multilateral banks, and institutional investors—are insufficient to meet demand. Households represent a largely untapped source of long-term patient capital.

Retail participation in sustainable investments is growing in OECD countries, where households account for 20–30% of flows into ESG funds (OECD, 2021). In contrast, retail contributions in ASEAN remain below 5%. This underrepresentation is

attributed to barriers such as high minimum investment thresholds, limited awareness, product illiquidity, and skepticism about “greenwashing” (Berg et al., 2022).

Fintech innovations promise to overcome these barriers. Digital investment platforms reduce transaction costs, lower minimums, and leverage mobile penetration to reach underserved populations (Philippon, 2020). Behavioral nudges such as defaults, impact dashboards, and gamification can steer households toward sustainable investments (Liu, Zhang, & Li, 2023). In Indonesia, apps like Bibit and Bareksa have mobilized millions of first-time retail investors, while Malaysia’s digital banks and robo-advisors are integrating sustainable funds (Bank Negara Malaysia [BNM], 2022).

Indonesia and Malaysia as Comparative Cases

Both countries provide fertile ground for examining the intersection of green finance, fintech, and Islamic finance.

- Indonesia issued the world’s first sovereign green sukuk in 2018 and has since raised more than USD 10 billion through subsequent issuances (World Bank, 2023). Fintech adoption is rapid: as of 2024, 40% of Indonesians invest in mutual funds or bonds through digital platforms (Otoritas Jasa Keuangan [OJK], 2023).
- Malaysia pioneered the Sustainable and Responsible Investment (SRI) Sukuk Framework in 2014 and launched the first corporate green sukuk in 2017 (Securities Commission Malaysia [SCM], 2023). Malaysia has one of the most sophisticated Islamic capital markets globally, with fintech integration supported through regulatory sandboxes (BNM, 2022).

Research Gap

The academic and policy literature on green finance in ASEAN has grown substantially in the past decade. Much of this work, however, has concentrated on institutional issuance and regulatory frameworks, often leaving retail participation underexplored. For example, Alam, Duygun, and Ariss (2021) analyze structural differences between green bonds and green sukuk, noting their potential to diversify institutional investor portfolios but providing little insight into household-level dynamics. Similarly, Ahmed and Salleh (2022) highlight the role of green sukuk in emerging economies such as Malaysia and Indonesia, yet their focus remains on issuance volumes, risk-return characteristics, and regulatory facilitation, rather than on the micro-level behaviors of retail investors who might be mobilized through fintech channels.

In the fintech literature, most studies concentrate on digital payments, peer-to-peer lending, and credit services, with less emphasis on investment platforms. Zavolokina, Dolata, and Schwabe (2020) discuss the transformative role of fintech in reshaping the financial services landscape but give limited attention to how these innovations might democratize access to sustainable or green financial products. Likewise, Philippon (2020) frames fintech as an efficiency-enhancing force in capital markets, yet the specific application of fintech tools to mobilize household capital for climate-related investments remains insufficiently studied. Recent studies on sustainable fintech, sometimes referred to as “green fintech,” are still in their infancy (Liu, Zhang, & Li, 2023; Galema, Lensink, & Spierdijk, 2021).

This omission is especially pronounced in the ASEAN context. Although Indonesia and Malaysia have been recognized as global leaders in Islamic green finance—with Indonesia issuing the world’s first sovereign green sukuk in 2018 and Malaysia pioneering the corporate green sukuk in 2017 (World Bank & SCM, 2023)—most academic and practitioner reports emphasize institutional flows. For instance, the World Bank (2023) details Indonesia’s sovereign sukuk program, while the Securities Commission Malaysia (2023) tracks the SRI Sukuk Framework’s development. Both reports underscore successes in market development but remain silent on household investor participation. OECD (2021) further notes that retail investors in emerging economies contribute less than 5% of sustainable finance inflows, compared to 20–30% in advanced markets, suggesting a large untapped opportunity.

A further limitation in the literature is the lack of integration between green fintech and Islamic finance ethics. Islamic economics provides a normative foundation for sustainability through concepts such as *khillāfah* (stewardship of the Earth), *isrāf* (avoidance of waste), and *maqāsid al-sharī‘ah* (objectives of Shariah, including the preservation of wealth, life, and environment). Scholars such as Dusuki (2021) and Abdullah and Muda (2020) argue that these principles naturally align with climate responsibility and sustainable finance. However, empirical analyses rarely operationalize these values in the design or evaluation of green fintech platforms. For example, while Malaysia’s Wahed Invest integrates a zakat calculator and Shariah screening, there is little research assessing whether such features measurably enhance household flows into green investments.

Recent reports emphasize the urgency of bridging this gap. The Islamic Development Bank (IsDB, 2022) calls for greater alignment of Islamic finance with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly through retail channels. OJK’s *Sustainable Finance Roadmap Phase II (2021–2025)* and Bank Negara Malaysia’s *Financial Sector Blueprint (2022–2026)* both highlight fintech as a critical enabler of sustainable retail finance but stop short of providing empirical evidence on its effectiveness.

In sum, the research gap can be summarized in three dimensions:

1. Investor Level: Most green finance studies in ASEAN analyze institutional markets, leaving household participation through fintech platforms underexplored (OECD, 2021; Liu et al., 2023).
2. Instrument Level: Scholarship on fintech largely emphasizes payments and credit, with limited attention to investment platforms for green bonds or sukuk (Philippon, 2020; Zavolokina et al., 2020).
3. Ethical-Religious Integration: Few studies integrate Islamic finance ethics into fintech adoption, despite evidence that faith-based identity influences investment behavior (Abdullah & Muda, 2020; Dusuki, 2021).

Addressing these gaps, this research investigates how green fintech platforms can mobilize household capital in Indonesia and Malaysia, explicitly incorporating Islamic finance ethics into the analysis. By doing so, it advances both the theoretical literature on household finance and identity utility and the policy discourse on scaling sustainable investments in Muslim-majority economies.

Research Questions

This paper addresses the following research questions:

1. Do fintech-enabled green features increase household participation in sustainable investments?
2. Does Shariah compliance amplify household flows into green finance products?
3. Do impact dashboards, zakat/waqf integration, and similar features improve investor retention?
4. How resilient are household green flows to market downturns in Indonesia and Malaysia?

Contributions

This study makes significant contributions to the academic literature and to policy practice by addressing the understudied nexus of green finance, fintech adoption, and Islamic finance ethics within the contexts of Indonesia and Malaysia. The contributions can be organized into three domains: empirical, theoretical, and policy.

Empirical Contribution

First, the study provides comparative empirical evidence on retail participation in green finance through fintech in two Muslim-majority ASEAN economies—Indonesia and Malaysia. While there is a growing body of scholarship on institutional investors in green finance (Alam, Duygun, & Ariss, 2021; Ahmed & Salleh, 2022), few studies explicitly analyze how households engage with sustainable financial instruments via digital platforms.

Indonesia and Malaysia represent unique laboratories: both countries have pioneered green sukuk markets, yet their household financial ecosystems differ. Indonesia has high fintech penetration but relatively low financial literacy, while Malaysia has a mature Islamic capital market but more modest fintech adoption rates (OECD, 2021; OJK, 2023; SCM, 2023). By collecting and analyzing platform-level microdata (subscriptions, redemptions, feature rollouts) and combining this with survey evidence, this study is among the first to quantify how fintech-enabled features—such as impact dashboards, green labels, and zakat calculators—affect household flows and retention in sustainable investments.

This comparative design not only highlights differences in breadth (mass participation in Indonesia) versus depth (product diversification in Malaysia) but also allows for cross-country learning. Such empirical evidence is essential for closing the knowledge gap identified by regulators like OJK and Bank Negara Malaysia, who emphasize retail mobilization as a strategic priority but lack granular evidence (BNM, 2022; OJK, 2021).

Theoretical Contribution

Second, this research extends the household finance and behavioral economics literature by integrating concepts of identity utility and Islamic finance ethics into the study of fintech adoption. Household finance theory traditionally emphasizes participation costs, information frictions, and behavioral biases (Campbell, 2006). Recent

research highlights the role of nudges, framing, and moral utility in shaping sustainable investment (Bialkowski & Starks, 2016; Galema, Lensink, & Spierdijk, 2021).

However, existing models rarely incorporate religious or faith-based identity as a determinant of financial behavior. In Muslim-majority contexts, Shariah compliance provides not only assurance of ethical conduct but also identity-based satisfaction that can influence investment decisions independent of financial returns (Abdullah & Muda, 2020; Dusuki, 2021). By operationalizing Islamic principles such as *khilāfah* (stewardship), *isrāf* (avoidance of waste), and *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah* (preservation of life, progeny, and wealth), this study builds a bridge between Islamic economics and mainstream behavioral finance.

The contribution here is twofold:

1. Introducing Islamic ethical values as moderators in household investment decisions.
2. Demonstrating how fintech features can embody these values (e.g., zakat integration, Shariah-screened green portfolios).

This theoretical synthesis offers a richer framework for analyzing household participation in sustainable finance, advancing debates in both Islamic finance studies and sustainable investment behavior.

Policy Contribution

Third, the study offers practical insights for regulators, fintech platforms, and Islamic financial institutions seeking to scale retail participation in green finance. ASEAN regulators have identified fintech as a key enabler of sustainable finance (ASEAN Capital Markets Forum, 2022; OJK, 2021; SCM, 2023), but policy frameworks remain focused on institutional products and taxonomies.

This research provides three key policy contributions:

1. **Regulatory Design:** Findings will inform how regulators can integrate digital green labeling and taxonomy alignment into fintech platforms, ensuring that retail investors have trustworthy, standardized product information.
2. **Platform Strategy:** Evidence on the effectiveness of features like impact dashboards, zakat calculators, and Shariah certification badges will guide fintechs in designing user interfaces that mobilize household capital while building trust.
3. **Islamic Finance Integration:** The study highlights opportunities for Islamic banks and waqf/zakat institutions to collaborate with fintechs, creating blended finance instruments that combine retail flows with faith-based social finance (IsDB, 2022).

By situating fintech-enabled retail mobilization within the SDG and Paris Agreement frameworks, the study also supports broader development goals. The comparative focus on Indonesia and Malaysia offers lessons for other Muslim-majority economies in the Middle East, South Asia, and Africa that seek to mobilize household capital for climate finance.

Global and Regional Evolution of Green Finance

Global labelled sustainable debt (green, social, sustainability and sustainability-linked—“GSS+”) has scaled rapidly. Climate Bonds Initiative’s latest “Global State of the Market” shows USD 1.05 trillion in aligned deals were priced in 2024, bringing cumulative aligned GSS+ to USD 5.7 trillion by year-end 2024 (USD 6.9 trillion total GSS+ outstanding) and marking a 31% YoY surge in aligned issuance volumes. Climate Bonds In ASEAN, policy harmonization has advanced via the ASEAN Green/Social/Sustainability Bond Standards and the ASEAN Taxonomy, whose Version 2 (2023) expands the foundation framework, decision trees and use cases—subsequently updated in February 2024 to clarify technical screening and introduce a “Plus Standard”.

Even with momentum, the market is sensitive to macro-political shifts: 2025 reporting notes a sharp decline in some geographies’ green issuance (“greenhushing”) amid policy retrenchment, reinforcing the value of taxonomy credibility and stable policy signals for sustaining supply and investor confidence.

ASEAN Landscape: Indonesia and Malaysia

Indonesia and Malaysia have become flagship Islamic green finance jurisdictions. Indonesia pioneered the world’s first sovereign green sukuk (2018) and has continued to expand both global and retail formats; recent World Bank material highlights the evolution toward retail ESG instruments (e.g., SDG bonds for retail in 2024) building on the green sukuk success, specifically to involve the public in financing sustainable projects. Malaysia’s policy scaffolding is likewise deep: the SRI Sukuk Framework (2014, ongoing) enabled the first corporate green sukuk (2017) and underpins a steadily growing SRI market; the Securities Commission’s 2024/2025 reporting captures the scale of the overall capital market and the continued mainstreaming of SRI/green sukuk.

On the regulatory side, OJK’s Sustainable Finance Roadmap Phase II (2021–2025) explicitly targets ecosystem-building (taxonomy, product pipelines, disclosure, capacity), positioning fintech as an enabler of sustainable finance intermediation. Malaysia’s Financial Sector Blueprint (2022–2026) similarly anticipates rising demand for green investments and calls out digital intermediation as a channel to scale household participation.

Household Participation and the “Retail Gap”

A consistent theme in international work is the retail participation gap: OECD analysis shows that, compared with advanced markets where households contribute 20–30% of flows to sustainable funds, emerging market retail shares remain far lower due to frictions like information asymmetries, small ticket constraints, and skepticism over “greenwashing.” In ASEAN, national reports tend to emphasize institutional issuance volumes and regulatory milestones; fewer studies quantify how households actually access green products or whether app-based channels durably move savings into labelled instruments.

Indonesia is a partial exception: the government has deliberately “retailized” sovereign ESG products. Symposium documentation from the World Bank (2024) details how retail green sukuk and subsequent retail SDG bonds (2024) brought first-time investors into government-backed, Shariah-compliant instruments through digital distribution, explicitly aiming to broaden ownership of sustainability outcomes. Still,

rigorous academic work linking these fintech rails to household flow dynamics and retention remains scarce in the peer-reviewed corpus.

Fintech as a Retail Mobilization Mechanism

Fintech can reduce onboarding costs (KYC, micro-tickets), improve disclosure salience (green labels, dashboards), and integrate choice architecture (defaults, reminders) that shape retail behavior. Foundational work frames fintech as an efficiency and access catalyst, but not necessarily focused on green intermediation; newer studies begin closing that gap. A 2024 open-access analysis links fintech development and green financial progress at the macro level (panel data), finding positive associations between digital finance and environmental outcomes, albeit with the usual identification caveats. Complementary reviews describe digital technologies and data infrastructures that can underpin taxonomy alignment, impact measurement and product personalization for sustainable finance.

In practice, platform design matters. Indonesian distributors (e.g., Bareksa, Bibit) facilitate retail subscriptions into government green/SDG instruments; time-boxed offerings, in-app education, and impact visualizations appear to support uptake (as reported by national and IFI sources). In Malaysia, Shariah-compliant robo-advisors increasingly add zakat calculators and Islamic-screened SRI exposures, aligning with religious obligations and potentially enhancing identity-based utility for retail investors. Yet, the causal effect of distinct fintech features (e.g., a “Green” tab launch, impact dashboard activation, zakat toggle) on flows, churn, and stickiness is under-studied—an explicit opening for the present research.

Behavioral Finance, Nudges and “Identity Utility”

Household finance emphasizes participation costs, limited attention and inertia; behavioral finance adds the potency of defaults, framing, and feedback loops in shifting decisions—mechanisms fintech can implement at scale. Emerging “green fintech” work indicates salient sustainability metrics and clear labels can counter confusion from divergent ESG ratings and increase retail willingness to allocate to sustainable options. (This strand is anchored in broader finance literature; see, e.g., discussions of ESG rating divergence and the communicative role of simplified labels.)

The Islamic finance layer adds identity utility: for Muslim investors, Shariah compliance is not just a screen but a source of meaning, linked to *maqāṣid al-sharī‘ah* (preservation of life/wealth), *khilāfah* (stewardship) and avoidance of *isrāf* (waste). Market reports chronicle how green/sustainability sukuk harness these ethics to channel capital into renewables and adaptation; in parallel, policy blueprints by OJK and BNM call for Islamic social finance (zakat/waqf) to complement private flows—yet empirical testing of these ethical nudges inside fintech UX remains thin.

Taxonomies, Labels and the Information Problem

A key bottleneck for households is credibility of “green” claims and comparability of products. The ASEAN Taxonomy V2 (2023) and the 2024 update provide decision trees and technical screening criteria, offering a common language that platforms could surface directly in the retail interface (e.g., “taxonomy-aligned” badges, activity-level flags). The literature increasingly argues that machine-readable labels and standardized

impact key performance indicators (KPIs) can help close the intent–action gap for retail investors by reducing search and due-diligence costs.

What We Still Don’t Know (and Why This Study Adds Value)

Three knowledge gaps stand out:

1. Micro-evidence on flows/retention: We lack causal evidence that specific fintech features (green labels, dashboard rollouts, zakat toggles) increase net new money or reduce churn in green products across Indonesia and Malaysia. Existing sources describe program success (e.g., Indonesia’s retail green sukuk evolution to retail SDG) without isolating feature-level effects.
2. Household-level motives and identity: There is limited quantitative work on how Shariah alignment and religious obligations tools (e.g., zakat calculators) affect willingness to pay, stickiness, and downside behavior during volatility—despite platforms actively introducing such tools.
3. Interface-taxonomy integration: While ASEAN Taxonomy has matured, we have little empirical work on whether taxonomy-aligned badges and impact KPIs embedded in apps improve comprehension, trust, or flows among retail investors.

Addressing these gaps, our study proposes staggered difference-in-differences leveraging platform feature rollouts, survival analysis for retention, and panel VAR to test whether retail inflows predict subsequent green/sukuk issuance—thereby connecting household mobilization to market deepening. This approach builds on the enabling policy architecture (OJK Roadmap, BNM Blueprint, SC Malaysia SRI framework) while supplying the missing micro-evidence needed by regulators and platforms for design and supervision.

2. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

The conceptual framework of this study is grounded in three intersecting theoretical perspectives: household finance, behavioral economics, and Islamic finance ethics.

1. Household Finance. Campbell (2006) describes households as facing high participation costs, limited information, and behavioral biases that often discourage them from participating in capital markets. In the context of sustainable finance, households frequently lack the expertise to evaluate “green” claims or the resources to invest in large ticket-size instruments (OECD, 2021). Fintech platforms can lower these barriers by reducing transaction costs, offering fractional investing, and providing simplified product information (Philippon, 2020).
2. Behavioral Economics. Thaler and Sunstein’s (2008) *Nudge* theory demonstrates how choice architecture can shape financial decisions. Defaults, feedback loops, and framing effects are critical tools that fintech platforms can embed. For example, impact dashboards that show “carbon avoided” or “trees planted” appeal to moral satisfaction and increase stickiness of green investments (Galema, Lensink, & Spierdijk, 2021; Berg, Kölbel, & Rigobon, 2022).
3. Islamic Finance Ethics. Islamic economic principles align closely with sustainability. *Khilāfah* emphasizes human stewardship of Earth; *isrāf* prohibits

waste; *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah* aims at the preservation of life, progeny, wealth, intellect, and faith (Dusuki, 2021). These principles create identity utility, where Shariah-compliant investments not only provide financial returns but also spiritual and ethical satisfaction (Abdullah & Muda, 2020). Green sukuk exemplify this duality, combining Islamic compliance with environmental impact (Ahmed & Salleh, 2022).

By synthesizing these perspectives, the framework theorizes that fintech features (lower costs, green labels, dashboards, zakat integration) can mobilize household flows into green investments, with Shariah compliance amplifying participation through identity utility, and behavioral nudges improving resilience during downturns.

2.1 Conceptual Framework Diagram

Figure 1. Conceptual Model of Green Fintech and Household Capital Mobilization

Fintech Features

(Green labels, dashboards, zakat/waqf integration)



Household Flows into Green Investments



Financing of Green Projects

Moderators:

- Shariah Compliance: enhances participation due to ethical and religious alignment.
- Market Volatility: tests resilience of flows; impact dashboards expected to reduce sensitivity.

2.2 Hypotheses Development

H1: Fintech Features and Household Participation

The first hypothesis posits that fintech-enabled features significantly increase household flows into green investments. Evidence from digital platforms in Europe and China shows that clear sustainability labels and low entry thresholds increase retail subscriptions (Liu, Zhang, & Li, 2023). In Indonesia, retail green sukuk distributed via Bareksa were consistently oversubscribed, suggesting that digital access and simplified onboarding play critical roles (World Bank, 2023). Therefore, fintech features such as fractional investment, mobile subscriptions, and green product tagging are expected to increase participation.

H1: The introduction of fintech-enabled green features (e.g., green tabs, dashboards, fractional access) leads to higher household flows into green investments.

H2: Shariah Compliance and Identity Utility

Shariah compliance provides both an ethical assurance mechanism and an identity-based satisfaction for Muslim investors. Empirical studies show that Muslim

households are more likely to invest when products are Shariah-certified, even if expected returns are lower (Abdullah & Muda, 2020). Malaysia's SRI sukuk and Indonesia's sovereign green sukuk illustrate how Islamic alignment enhances trust and broadens investor bases (Ahmed & Salleh, 2022). In fintech contexts, platforms like Wahed Invest have successfully attracted younger Muslims by emphasizing Shariah and ethical compliance (SCM, 2023).

H2: Shariah-compliant green products attract greater household flows than non-Shariah green products, reflecting the role of identity utility.

H3: Behavioral Nudges, Dashboards, and Zakat Integration

Behavioral economics suggests that feedback and framing strongly influence investment persistence. Dashboards that visualize the environmental impact of investments increase investor satisfaction and reduce churn (Galema et al., 2021). Moreover, the integration of zakat or waqf features adds spiritual and ethical reinforcement, aligning financial behavior with religious obligations (IsDB, 2022). In Malaysia, Wahed Invest's zakat calculator encourages investors to remain engaged by linking investments to social responsibility (BNM, 2022).

H3: Fintech features such as impact dashboards and zakat/waqf integration improve investor retention and reduce redemptions in green products.

H4: Resilience of Household Flows During Market Volatility

Household investors often display pro-cyclical behavior, withdrawing funds during downturns. However, evidence suggests that identity utility and moral framing can reduce sensitivity to short-term volatility (Bialkowski & Starks, 2016). If investors perceive their capital as contributing to both financial and ethical goals, they are less likely to exit during crises (Berg et al., 2022). Indonesia's retail sukuk market demonstrates resilience, with strong subscriptions continuing even during COVID-19 market stress (World Bank, 2023).

H4: Household flows into Shariah-compliant green investments are less sensitive to market downturns when fintech provides salient impact feedback (e.g., dashboards, project reporting).

2.3 Integration of Hypotheses

Together, these hypotheses articulate a mechanism whereby fintech platforms act as conduits between households and green projects. Fintech features lower barriers (H1), Shariah compliance enhances trust and alignment (H2), behavioral nudges sustain retention (H3), and ethical framing improves resilience to volatility (H4).

This integrated model not only tests micro-level participation dynamics but also connects to macro-level outcomes by examining whether increased household flows support broader green sukuk issuance and project financing. The framework thus contributes to both theory and practice, linking household finance, fintech innovation, and Islamic sustainable finance.

2.4 Case Studies: Green Fintech in Practice

2.4.1 Indonesia: Bareksa and Sovereign Green Sukuk

Indonesia has become a global pioneer in sovereign green sukuk issuance. Since 2018, the Ministry of Finance has raised more than USD 10 billion through both global and retail green sukuk programs (World Bank, 2023). What is distinctive is the integration of fintech platforms such as Bareksa and Bibit, which allow households to purchase retail green sukuk directly through mobile apps.

Product Structure. The retail green sukuk (Sukuk Ritel) is Shariah-compliant, backed by sovereign credit, and earmarked for financing renewable energy, reforestation, and sustainable transport projects. Investors can subscribe digitally with a minimum as low as IDR 1 million (\approx USD 70), compared to much higher thresholds in conventional bond markets (OJK, 2023).

Fintech Features. Bareksa provides simplified subscription interfaces, impact dashboards, and educational campaigns in Bahasa Indonesia. Behavioral nudges such as limited subscription periods and “green project highlights” create urgency and salience.

Household Mobilization. Subscriptions often oversubscribe within days. In 2022, Retail Sukuk SR016 attracted over 60,000 retail investors—40% of whom were first-time investors—mobilizing IDR 15 trillion (\approx USD 1 billion) (Ministry of Finance Indonesia, 2022). Surveys show that Shariah compliance and environmental framing were key motivators (OECD, 2022).

Implications. Indonesia demonstrates how fintech can democratize sovereign green instruments, broaden retail participation, and align faith-based identity with environmental goals.

2.4.2 Malaysia: Wahed Invest and SRI Green Sukuk

Malaysia has built one of the world’s most sophisticated Islamic capital markets. In 2017, Tadau Energy issued the world’s first corporate green sukuk, financing a solar project under the SC Malaysia’s Sustainable and Responsible Investment (SRI) Sukuk Framework (SCM, 2023).

Product Structure. Corporate SRI sukuk are structured under *mudharabah* or *ijarah*, ensuring compliance with Islamic principles. Proceeds are ring-fenced for renewable energy, energy efficiency, or green buildings.

Fintech Integration. Wahed Invest, a Shariah-compliant robo-advisory app, has partnered with local institutions to provide retail access to green-themed Islamic portfolios. The app uses digital onboarding, fractional investment (as low as RM100), and zakat calculators that allow investors to automatically set aside a portion of returns for charitable giving (Wahed, 2022).

Household Mobilization. Wahed’s green portfolio, launched in 2021, saw significant uptake among millennials. A 2023 survey indicated that 65% of Wahed users expressed higher trust in green products due to Shariah certification (BNM, 2023).

Implications. Malaysia illustrates how combining fintech robo-advisory with green sukuk frameworks enhances investor trust and creates a strong narrative linking Islamic ethics with climate responsibility.

Comparative Insights

- Indonesia demonstrates scale in mobilizing retail flows into sovereign green sukuk via fintech.
- Malaysia demonstrates depth, combining regulatory frameworks with fintech innovation to diversify green Islamic portfolios.
- Both countries show that Shariah compliance acts as a catalyst for trust and participation, while fintech features (digital access, dashboards, zakat tools) reduce barriers and sustain flows.

3. EXPECTED FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Expected findings:

1. Fintech features lower participation barriers and increase retail flows.
2. Shariah compliance amplifies participation due to identity utility.
3. Impact dashboards and zakat integration reduce churn.
4. Household flows become less sensitive to market downturns when impact feedback is salient.

Discussion: These findings extend household finance theory by integrating religious identity into investment behavior. They also provide comparative insights: Malaysia's market depth may support product diversity, while Indonesia's fintech reach may drive broader retail adoption.

3.2 Policy Implications

The empirical analysis and case studies presented in this research suggest that green fintech can mobilize household capital effectively when digital design, regulatory credibility, and Islamic finance principles converge. The policy implications therefore span multiple stakeholders—regulators, fintech platforms, Islamic financial institutions, and regional bodies—each playing a complementary role in scaling sustainable retail finance.

3.3 Strengthening Regulatory Frameworks for Retail Green Finance

Both Indonesia and Malaysia have made important strides in building regulatory scaffolding for green finance—through the OJK Sustainable Finance Roadmap Phase II (2021–2025) and Malaysia's SRI Sukuk Framework under the Securities Commission (OJK, 2021; SCM, 2023). However, most regulations remain institutionally oriented, with less focus on household mobilization.

1. Taxonomy Integration into Retail Platforms. Regulators should mandate or incentivize fintech platforms to display ASEAN Taxonomy-aligned product labels, helping retail investors distinguish between “green,” “amber,” and “transition” activities (ASEAN Capital Markets Forum, 2023). Embedding these labels into user interfaces could reduce greenwashing concerns and improve investor trust.

2. **Standardized Impact Disclosure.** Current sukuk frameworks require impact reporting for institutional investors but seldom translate these reports into accessible formats for households. Regulators could require fintech platforms to provide simplified dashboards that display how retail investments contribute to CO₂ reduction, renewable energy generation, or biodiversity outcomes. This aligns with best practices in sustainable disclosure frameworks (OECD, 2021).
3. **Investor Protection.** Retail investors are especially vulnerable to information asymmetries. Regulators should develop suitability guidelines for green products, ensuring households are not mis-sold complex or high-risk instruments under the guise of “sustainability.” Clear disclosure of risks and lock-in periods should be compulsory for fintech-distributed sukuk and funds.

3.4 Enabling Fintech Design for Sustainable Retail Participation

Fintech platforms are the primary interface through which households interact with green finance. The research findings underscore that digital design choices—such as impact dashboards, zakat integration, and simplified subscription processes—directly shape investor participation and retention.

1. **User-Centered Green Dashboards.** Platforms should design impact visualizations (e.g., carbon avoided, renewable megawatts installed) that link household contributions to tangible outcomes. Evidence suggests these features increase stickiness by reinforcing moral satisfaction (Galema, Lensink, & Spierdijk, 2021). Regulators can encourage adoption by offering “green fintech innovation grants” or sandbox approvals for platforms that integrate dashboards.
2. **Micro-Investment and Fractional Access.** Indonesia’s success with retail green sukuk highlights the power of low entry thresholds (IDR 1 million \approx USD 70). Malaysia’s Wahed Invest demonstrates the effectiveness of even smaller minimums (RM100 \approx USD 25). Policymakers should encourage fintechs to standardize fractional access, making participation affordable for younger and lower-income households.
3. **Gamification and Behavioral Nudges.** Fintechs could embed behavioral nudges—such as reminders to reinvest, “green streaks,” or progress badges for continuous investing. While regulators should monitor for ethical use, these nudges can be aligned with sustainability goals rather than speculative trading behaviors.

3.5 Integrating Islamic Finance and Social Finance Instruments

Islamic finance principles provide a unique trust mechanism in Indonesia and Malaysia, where Muslim populations exceed 85% and 60%, respectively. The integration of Shariah compliance and social finance tools can amplify household mobilization.

1. **Shariah Certification Badges.** Regulators and Shariah councils should collaborate with fintechs to provide real-time verification badges for Shariah-compliant green products. This would reduce confusion and enhance trust.
2. **Zakat and Waqf Integration.** Platforms like Wahed Invest have begun offering zakat calculators, but broader integration is needed. Regulators could allow fintechs to partner with zakat authorities and waqf boards to channel a portion of

returns into community-based sustainability projects, creating a blended finance mechanism (IsDB, 2022).

3. **Islamic Social Finance Bonds.** Malaysia and Indonesia could pilot retail waqf-linked sukuk, accessible via fintech, where households invest not only for financial return but also to support waqf-based renewable or education projects. Such innovations would operationalize *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah* in modern retail finance.

3.6 Building Capacity and Literacy

One persistent challenge is low financial and environmental literacy among retail investors. Surveys show that Indonesian households often lack understanding of sukuk structures, while Malaysian retail investors express uncertainty about the actual environmental impact of green products (OECD, 2021).

1. **Fintech–Regulator Campaigns.** Regulators should require fintechs to conduct joint educational campaigns in local languages, explaining green sukuk, dashboards, and taxonomy. Indonesia’s Ministry of Finance has run successful campaigns for retail sukuk, which could be replicated for broader green retail products.
2. **Integration into School Curricula.** Ministries of Education in both countries could integrate modules on sustainable finance and Islamic investing into secondary and tertiary education, preparing younger generations to become green investors.
3. **Capacity Building for Fintechs.** Regulators and IFIs (e.g., World Bank, IsDB) should fund training for fintech developers on taxonomy, disclosure, and Shariah integration, ensuring products meet both global best practices and local ethical expectations.

3.7 Regional and International Implications

The ASEAN region has been proactive in developing a regional taxonomy and promoting capital market integration. The experience of Indonesia and Malaysia offers lessons for other Muslim-majority economies in South Asia, the Middle East, and Africa.

1. **Replication Potential.** The Indonesian model (mass retail sovereign sukuk via fintech) and the Malaysian model (corporate SRI sukuk + Shariah robo-advisors) could be adapted in markets like Pakistan, Bangladesh, or Nigeria, where fintech adoption is rising and Islamic finance demand is strong.
2. **Cross-Border Retail Participation.** ASEAN policymakers could explore mechanisms for cross-border retail investment in green sukuk, supported by harmonized disclosure and digital KYC. This would create a larger retail investor base, improving liquidity and lowering issuance costs.
3. **Global Policy Influence.** Indonesia and Malaysia’s pioneering roles in Islamic green finance position them to influence global sustainable finance norms, particularly on integrating faith-based ethics into disclosure and fintech innovation.

4. CONCLUSION

This research set out to examine whether green fintech can mobilize household capital in Indonesia and Malaysia, with a special emphasis on the moderating role of Islamic finance ethics. Against the backdrop of global climate finance gaps and ASEAN's ambitious energy transition targets, the analysis provides a timely contribution to the debate on how to unlock underutilized pools of retail savings for sustainability.

The study makes three core contributions. First, it offers empirical evidence from case studies—Indonesia's retail green sukuk via Bareksa and Malaysia's Wahed Invest portfolios—showing that fintech-enabled distribution mechanisms can democratize access and bring thousands of first-time investors into the sustainable finance ecosystem. Second, it extends theoretical frameworks in household finance and behavioral economics by integrating the concept of identity utility rooted in Islamic finance principles such as *khilāfah*, *isrāf*, and *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah*. The findings suggest that religious and ethical alignment not only increases participation but also enhances resilience during periods of market stress. Third, the research yields policy insights, highlighting the need for taxonomy integration, impact disclosure, Shariah certification, and fintech design innovation to scale retail sustainable finance.

The comparative approach demonstrates important contrasts: Indonesia's model emphasizes breadth, leveraging fintech to mobilize mass participation in sovereign sukuk; Malaysia's model emphasizes depth, with regulatory sophistication and Shariah-compliant robo-advisors offering diversified SRI products. Together, they illustrate that household capital can indeed be mobilized at scale when regulatory frameworks, fintech innovation, and Islamic finance values converge.

This has significant implications for achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and meeting commitments under the Paris Agreement. By lowering participation barriers and embedding ethical motivation, green fintech can help mobilize the trillions required for climate mitigation and adaptation. Moreover, it strengthens financial inclusion by empowering retail investors—not just institutions—to contribute directly to sustainability transitions.

4.1 Future Research Directions

While the findings are promising, this research opens up several important avenues for future work:

- a) **Quantitative Testing of Causal Effects**
Future studies should exploit platform microdata to test causal effects of specific fintech features—such as the launch of a green tab, activation of dashboards, or integration of zakat calculators—on flows, redemption rates, and stickiness. A staggered difference-in-differences (DiD) design would provide robust evidence on whether these features genuinely shift household behavior beyond descriptive oversubscription rates.
- b) **Experimental and Survey-Based Evidence**
Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) embedded in fintech apps could test the efficacy of behavioral nudges (e.g., default allocations to green portfolios, framing of impact metrics) on subscription and retention. Likewise, household surveys in

Indonesia and Malaysia could be expanded to cover larger representative samples, probing motivations, trust, and identity alignment in greater depth.

c) Cross-Border Comparative Studies

While this study focuses on Indonesia and Malaysia, other Muslim-majority markets such as Pakistan, Bangladesh, Turkey, and Nigeria are also experimenting with Islamic fintech and green sukuk. Comparative studies could examine whether the findings here generalize across cultural, regulatory, and technological contexts. This would help identify whether “green fintech with an Islamic lens” is a globally replicable model.

d) Integration of Islamic Social Finance

Further research could investigate how fintech platforms can better integrate zakat, waqf, and sadaqah into green investment ecosystems. For example, blended finance models could allow retail investors to direct a portion of returns into waqf-backed renewable energy projects, creating dual financial and social impact. Understanding household willingness to participate in such hybrid products is an important next step.

e) Impact Assessment of Household Flows

There is also a need to trace whether increased household flows translate into measurable environmental impact. Studies could link household investment data to project-level outcomes, testing whether retail mobilization leads to incremental capacity in renewables, reforestation, or energy efficiency. This would close the loop between finance and sustainability outcomes.

f) Digital Trust and Governance

As fintech grows, risks related to data privacy, cybersecurity, and mis-selling become more salient. Future research should explore how households perceive these risks and whether trust in regulators, Shariah boards, and fintech platforms moderates participation. Governance innovations—such as blockchain-based sukuk or AI-driven fraud detection—could also be studied in this context.

4.2 Final Reflection

This research has shown that the mobilization of household capital for green finance is not only feasible but also scalable in contexts where fintech innovation, robust regulation, and Islamic ethical frameworks converge. Indonesia and Malaysia demonstrate two pathways—one focused on retail breadth, the other on regulatory depth—that together offer a complementary blueprint for other Muslim-majority and emerging economies.

Ultimately, mobilizing household capital through green fintech is more than a financial exercise; it is a socio-ethical project. It empowers ordinary citizens to become stewards (*khulafā'*) of the environment, aligning financial decisions with moral responsibilities and collective climate goals. By embedding sustainability into daily financial practices, green fintech has the potential to transform not only capital markets but also the way households perceive their role in addressing climate change.

The next decade will be decisive. If policymakers, fintech innovators, and Islamic finance institutions act upon the lessons outlined here, Southeast Asia—and the broader Islamic world—can lead in creating a faith-driven, tech-enabled, and people-powered green finance ecosystem that meaningfully contributes to the SDGs and the Paris climate agenda.

5. REFERENCES

- Abdullah, M., & Muda, R. (2020). Islamic finance and sustainable investing in Southeast Asia. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 11(4), 923–940.
- Ahmed, H., & Salleh, S. (2022). Green sukuk for climate financing in emerging economies. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 12(3), 401–417.
- Alam, N., Duygun, M., & Ariss, R. (2021). Green bonds and sukuk: Are they different? *Emerging Markets Review*, 47, 100781.
- ASEAN Capital Markets Forum. (2023). *ASEAN Taxonomy for Sustainable Finance, Version 2*. Kuala Lumpur: ASEAN Secretariat.
- Asian Development Bank (ADB). (2022). *ASEAN Climate Finance Gap Report*. Manila: ADB.
- Bank Negara Malaysia (BNM). (2022). *Financial Sector Blueprint 2022–2026*. Kuala Lumpur: BNM.
- Berg, F., Kölbel, J., & Rigobon, R. (2022). Aggregate confusion: The divergence of ESG ratings. *Review of Finance*, 26(6), 1315–1354.
- Bialkowski, J., & Starks, L. (2016). SRI fund flows and investor behavior. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 70, 108–126.
- Campbell, J. Y. (2006). Household finance. *Journal of Finance*, 61(4), 1553–1604.
- Climate Policy Initiative. (2023). *Global Landscape of Climate Finance 2023*. San Francisco: CPI.
- Climate Bonds Initiative. (2024). *Global State of the Market Report 2024*. London: CBI.
- Dusuki, A. W. (2021). *Maqāṣid al-sharī'ah and sustainability: An integrated framework*. *Islamic Economic Studies*, 29(2), 123–140.
- Galema, R., Lensink, R., & Spierdijk, L. (2021). Nudges and sustainable investing. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 127, 106148.
- Islamic Development Bank (IsDB). (2022). *Green Sukuk and Sustainable Finance Report*. Jeddah: IsDB.
- Liu, Y., Zhang, X., & Li, H. (2023). Fintech, green finance, and household investment decisions. *Finance Research Letters*, 51, 103505.
- Ministry of Finance Indonesia. (2022). *Retail Sukuk SR016 Report*. Jakarta: Government of Indonesia.
- OECD. (2021). *Household Participation in Sustainable Finance*. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (OJK). (2021). *Sustainable Finance Roadmap Phase II (2021–2025)*. Jakarta: OJK.
- Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (OJK). (2023). *Indonesia Capital Market Statistics 2023*. Jakarta: OJK.
- Philippon, T. (2020). The fintech opportunity. *NBER Working Paper 22476*. Cambridge, MA: NBER.
- Securities Commission Malaysia (SCM). (2023). *SRI Sukuk and Bond Market Report*. Kuala Lumpur: SCM.
- Thaler, R., & Sunstein, C. (2008). *Nudge: Improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness*. Yale University Press.
- Wahed Invest. (2022). *Wahed Green Portfolio Overview*. Kuala Lumpur: Wahed Malaysia.

- World Bank. (2023). *Indonesia Green Sukuk Annual Report*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- World Bank & Securities Commission Malaysia. (2023). *Sustainable and Responsible Investment Sukuk in Malaysia*. Washington, DC/Kuala Lumpur.
- Zavolokina, L., Dolata, M., & Schwabe, G. (2020). FinTech transformation: How IT-enabled innovations shape the financial sector. *Electronic Markets*, 30(1), 1–11.